PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

ð	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU
PCT	То:
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION (PCT Rule 61.2)	Commissioner US Department of Commerce United States Patent and Trademark Office, PCT 2011 South Clark Place Room CP2/5C24 Arlington, VA 22202
Date of mailing (day/month/year)	ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE in its capacity as elected Office
29 November 2000 (29.11.00)	in its capacity as elected office
International application No.	Applicant's or agent's file reference
PCT/US00/08161	2912-WO
International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
28 March 2000 (28.03.00)	02 April 1999 (02.04.99)
Applicant	
HAYES, F., Ann	
in a notice effecting later election filed with the Intern	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. The election X was was not	
made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority d Rule 32.2(b).	ate or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Olivia TEFY

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

	REC'D	13	JUN 2001
	WIPO		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

FOR FURTHER ACTION Proliminary Eve		See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
2912-WC) 		
	al application No.	International filing date (day/month	
PCT/US		28/03/2000	02/04/1999
Internationa A61K38/		r national classification and IPC	
Applicant			
IMMUNE	X CORPORATION et al		
1. This i	nternational preliminary ex s transmitted to the applica	amination report has been prepared nt according to Article 36.	by this International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This f	REPORT consists of a tota	of 6 sheets, including this cover s	heet.
b	een amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the basis for this report and/or sheets on 1 607 of the Administrative Instructi	te description, claims and/or drawings which have containing rectifications made before this Authority ons under the PCT).
These	e annexes consist of a tota	l of sheets.	
3. This r II III IV V VI VIII	 ☒ Basis of the report ☐ Priority ☒ Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inverse inverse inverse itations and explant ☐ Certain documents ☒ Certain defects in the 	ntion It under Article 35(2) with regard to ations suporting such statement	ventive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
Date of sub	omission of the demand	Date of	completion of this report
01/11/2000		11.06.2	001
	mailing address of the internat	onal Authoriz	zed officer
	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	Didelo	on, F
	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	·	one No. +49 89 2399 7332

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/08161

I.	Basis	of the	report
	AACAL		_ 41

1.	the and	th regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to be receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): escription, pages:					
	1-1	5	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, No.:					
	1-5		as originally filed				
	Dra	wings, sheets:					
	1/2-	-2/2	as originally filed				
2.	With lang	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the anguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	ese elements were a	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pu	ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3).	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule				
3.			eleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the y examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the in	ternational application in written form.				
		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequ	rnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sec the international application as filed has been furnished.			t the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure ir oplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequ listing has been furnished.					
1.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/08161

		the drawings, sheets:			
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have bee considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):	n		
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)	\$		
6.	Add	tional observations, if necessary:			
III.	Nor	establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	The	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ous), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international application.			
	×	claims Nos. 1-5 (with respect to industrial applicability).			
be	caus	e:			
	⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-5 relate to the following subject matter which do not require an international preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>): see separate sheet	es		
		the description, claims or drawings (<i>indicate particular elements below</i>) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (<i>specify</i>):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opin could be formed.				
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos			
2.	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:				
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			
٧.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	1. Statement				
Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-5					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/08161

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims 1-5

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims -

No:

Claims -

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

Comments on item III:

Claims 1-5 relate to methods of treatment of the human/animal body which is subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Comments on item V:

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DESWAL, A. ET AL: 'A Phase I Trial Of Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor (p75) Fusion Protein (TNFR:Fc) In Patients With Advanced Heart Failure' CIRCULATION, vol. 96, no. 8, 21 October 1997 (1997-10-21), page I-323 XP000925228 cited in the application
 - D2: MORELAND L W ET AL: 'Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with a recombinant human tumor necrosis factor receptor (p75)-Fc fusion protein [see comments]' NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL OF MEDICINE, THE, US, MASSACHUSETTS MEDICAL SOCIETY, WALTHAM, MA, vol. 337, no. 3, 17 July 1997 (1997-07- 17), pages 141-147, XP002115639 ISSN: 0028-4793
- 2. The present application relates to a specific dosage regimen of a fusion protein, namely TNFR:Fc or etanercept, in the treatment of chronic heart failure.
 - Document D1 discloses that said fusion protein can be effective in treating chronic heart failure, but it is administered intravenously and in a single dose. The present application however teaches that the condition of patients with chronic heart failure can be significantly improved by subcutaneous and repeated injections (at least twice a week), and over a prolonged period of time.
 - Document D2 teaches a similar dose regimen compared to the one of the present application, but with a different goal, i.e, the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis.

Thus the subject-matter of the present claims is considered as a novel alternative treatment of chronic heart failure with respect to the disclosure of D1, and involves an inventive step because it is not predictable from the prior art that this dosage regimen would bring a significant improvement of patients' condition.

3. For the assessment of the present claims 1-5 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Comments on item VII:

The description includes embodiments which are not within the scope of the claims. Some of these passages are found for example page 4, lines 10-12 as well as lines 26- page 5 line 2, page 6, lines 12-17, and lines 26-34, page 7 line 19-20 and should therefore be deleted.

In addition the claimed subject-matter appears several times in the description as a <u>preferred embodiment</u>, whereas it appears to be the <u>sole embodiment</u> covered by the claims. Therefore the expression "preferred embodiment" should be deleted where applicable.

Comments on item VIII:

- 1. The term "baseline" in claim 1 is not clear because it is not known to which category of patients it applies. Rather, the improvement should be compared to the condition of "untreated patients".
- 2. The dosage unit kg/m² is not clear because it is not known to which surface it applies. It should be specified "kg/m² of body surface area" to make this unit fully understandable.